- 6 & 5 ·

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

This application is a divisional based upon Applicant's U.S. application

Serial No. 10/056,591 filed January 24, 2000. Applicant has rewritten original

non-elected apparatus claims in more clearly apparatus form without method

limitations, and submits they are patentable over the prior art of record in the

parent case.

In preparing this amendment, the undersigned attorney noted an

inadvertent drawing error at the horizontal arm - rule adjustment indicia. In

accordance with the original specification and claims, the indicia 75° and 69°

should clearly be reversed to reflect the adjustment described and claimed. As

noted in the specification, for example, when the handle 17' is adjusted to a more

upright position, at $\emptyset = 75^{\circ}$, the distance 3.09", shown, becomes 2.59", and,

accordingly the distance from numeral 7 to the right edge of arm 50 would be 7"-

2.59" = 4.41." Accordingly, the rule 43 should move to the left relative to arm 42

rather than to the right, to comply with the direct reading feature of the original

claims and specification. Accordingly, the indicia in the illustrated embodiment

should be changed to conform to the specification and claims. The accompanying

sketch illustrates the correction noted and a substitute drawing incorporating

the correction is also submitted herewith.

Page 6 of 8

Appl. No. 10/056,591 Amdt. Dated March 9, 2004

It is submitted that this application, as amended, is in condition for allowance, and such action is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: March 9, 2004

(Reg. #17,074)

Lewis T. Steadman

HOLLAND & KNIGHT LLC 131 South Dearborn, 30th Floor

Chicago, Illinois 60603

Tel: (312) 578-6502 Attorney for Applicant